

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3645-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 08, 2016

Prem Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for respondent Nos.5 and 6.

.....

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

No one has appeared for the petitioner. The case has been called twice since morning. It is 2.25 p.m. Further wait is not justified.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Hardeep Singh, Police Station, Division No.1, Pathankot, submits that petitioner shall not be summoned by the police of District Pathankot, without taking recourse to Section 160, Cr.P.C. He

further submits that the petitioner was neither harassed or tortured, in any manner, and in future also the police would not harass and humiliate him (petitioner).

Mr.Vipin Mahajan, learned counsel for respondent Nos.5 and 6, states at bar that respondent Nos.5 and 6 would not file any false complaint against the petitioner.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

Disposed of.

February 08, 2016
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE