

219
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-36360 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 29.3.2016

Reena Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has got no instructions from the petitioner, whereas on behalf of State, it is stated on instructions from ASI Harbans Singh, that the petitioner made the statement to the police that she does not need any protection.

As such, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.3.2016
sjks