

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37236-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 30, 2016.

Gurinder Singh Chahal

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Sandeep Majithia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Shailesh Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
Shri Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.6 and 7.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties. It appears that in terms of the decree in favour of the petitioner in a suit for permanent injunction, the petitioner is in possession of half of the suit property as co-sharer and the remaining portion is in possession of the tenants. If the respondent mother wants to have residence in the same house, the remedy lies with the civil court.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of reserving liberty in favour of the mother of the petitioner to take such steps as available in law and take such orders from the civil court as are possible.

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

November 30, 2016.
kadyan

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No