

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-36296 of 2015 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 03, 2016

SATISH KUMAR GOYAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PAWAN KUMAR GOYAL AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Anju Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Sekhon, AAG, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

CRM No. 35045 of 2015

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

CRM No. M-36296 of 2015

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C., petitioner has sought the direction to learned trial Court to decide the complaint bearing No. 82 dated June 15, 2009 captioned as “**Satish Kumar Goyal vs. Pawan Kumar Goyal & others**” under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B and 34 IPC in a time bound manner.

2. A perusal of record available on file reveals that above-referred complaint was filed way back in the month of June 2009 but the same is

lingering at the stage of pre-charge evidence. Further, it is also evident from the record that complainant is appearing on each and every date but he was not being examined or cross-examined at the request of learned defence counsel. It appears that matter is being taken casually by the learned Magistrate, who is seison of the matter.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to concerned trial Court to take the matter seriously and finally dispose of the above-referred complaint within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

AUGUST 03, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether	Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether	Reportable	Yes/No