

215.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37099-2016

Date of decision:05.12.2016

Varsha Rani @ Varshan Rani

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.69 dated 28.10.2015 under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Khilchian, District Amritsar.

Vide order dated 27.10.2016 passed by this Court, petitioner was admitted on interim bail subject to furnishing of her bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

Learned counsel for the complainant on instructions from his client-Balwinder Singh states that in the FIR, the allegation against the petitioner is that she has received ₹3,08,000/- from the complainant on different dates. Therefore, the total amount paid by the petitioner comes to ₹3,08,000/- and in case, the petitioner returns that amount, he has no objection to get the FIR quashed qua the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on the basis of submissions so made by the learned counsel for the complainant, has handed over a demand draft bearing No.627698 dated 30.11.2016 for an amount of ₹2 lakhs to the complainant in Court and undertakes to pay the remaining amount of ₹1,08,000/- at the time of filing of petition seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the complainant after getting instructions from his client states that the complainant would facilitate the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise and will not oppose the petition, provided the balance amount is paid to the complainant.

Learned State counsel submits that since the complainant has settled the dispute with the petitioner, he has no objection qua grant of bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition is allowed and interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 27.10.2016 are made absolute.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

05.12.2016
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No