

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37091-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 06.12.2016

Donger Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Pradeep Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Ram Krishan.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.32 dated 05.02.2015, registered under Sections 18, 21, 27 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at GRP, Ludhiana.

Contentends that the petitioner is neither named in the FIR, nor any recovery has been got effected from him. He is in custody since 13.10.2015. The trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instruction, states that the petitioner is involved in one more FIR.

Heard.

The petitioner is not named in the FIR. No recovery has been effected from him. He is in custody since 13.10.2015. Only 3 out of 26 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

Keeping in view the above and without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

06.12.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No