

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37064-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 12.12.2016

Mintu Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Navdeep Monga, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Lakha Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in FIR No.81 dated 25.09.2016, registered under Section 61 of the Excise Act, at P.S. Kot Dharmu, District Mansa.

Heard.

On 19.10.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. No recovery was effected from the petitioners. Earlier also, petitioner No.2 was framed in FIR No. 71 dated 23.04.2008 registered under Section 302 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station Sadar, Mansa and FIR No. 62 dated 01.09.2011 registered under Sections 458, 323, 427, 506 and 148 read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Kot Dharmu whereas, he stands acquitted in those cases.

Notice of motion for 12.12.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be

ATUL SETHI
2016.12.12 17:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 19.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

12.12.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No