

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc.No.M-37058 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27th October, 2016**

Rajneesh Verma

..... Petitioner

Versus

UT, Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Jagjit Singh, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Lovekirat S.Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the respondent UT, Chandigarh.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in case FIR No.194 dated 01.05.2016, registered at Police Station, Sector 17, UT, Chandigarh, under Sections 392/34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act (Subsequently Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 201, 120-B IPC).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the similarly situated co-accused Vinod Verma was granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 17.10.2016, passed in Criminal Misc.No.M-30458 of 2016 and the petitioner is in custody since 10.05.2016.

Learned counsel for respondent-UT, Chandigarh, has accepted the fact that the co-accused was granted the bail by this Court but states that two witnesses have not been testified and the anxiety is still not testified.

Criminal Misc.No.M-37058 of 2016 (O&M)

In these circumstances, the instant petition is allowed. Bail to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. However, the learned trial Court in the order may put any condition which may assuage of any feeling of insecurity of said two prosecution witnesses.

27th October, 2016
mamta

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No