

CRM-M-37050-2016

Date of Decision : 27.10.2016

Paramjit Singh @ Pamma

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Rohiteshwar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

It is claimed that the trial has not been concluded within a period of six months as per the directions of this Court dated 17.03.2016.

On the instructions of ASI Chanderpall Singh, learned State counsel informs that all the prosecution witness have been examined and the case is at the stage of production of defence evidence by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that there are serious contradictions in the statements of the witnesses indicative of the false implications of the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that in view of the stage of the trial, it will not be appropriate for this Court to enter into the niceties of the trial by appreciation of evidence. No doubt, there has been a marginal delay in the conclusion of the trial but in view of the stage of the case where the matter is listed

for defence evidence, I do not find any ground to grant concession of bail to the petitioner expecting that the trial would be concluded expeditiously, by giving fair opportunity to the petitioner to produce defence evidence.

Dismissed.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

27.10.2016
Neha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No