

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37045-2016
Date of decision: 24.10.2016**

Amit Verma

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R No.364 dated 06.07.2016, under Sections 313, 323, 376, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station, City Sonipat.

The prosecutrix is 24 years of age. She had physical relations with the petitioner for three years without solemnizing marriage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that there was contradiction between the statement made by the prosecutrix under Section 161 Cr.P.C. and Section 164 Cr.p.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has informed that after framing of charges, case is fixed for evidence of prosecution.

Keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and the fact that trial may still take some time for its conclusion, this court feels that there is no need to detain the petitioner any longer. Accordingly, this

petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on regular bail to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sonipat.

24.10.2016
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:

Yes/No
Yes/No