

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-36147 of 2015

Date of decision: January 21, 2016.

ANUPMA RAWAT & ANR

... **Petitioners**

v.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

**Kuldip Singh, J.** (Oral):

Reply filed.

Learned Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Sukhwinder Singh, states that the petitioners have got recorded their statements before the police to the effect that they do not have any apprehension. Copies of the statements have been attached with the reply.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners withdraws the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

January 21, 2016.  
*kadyan*

[ **Kuldip Singh** ]  
**Judge**