

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38893-2013

Date of Decision: 19 February, 2016

Virender Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kumar, AAG, Haryana,
for respondent Nos. 1 to 6.

Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, Advocate,
for respondent No. 7.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State after taking instructions on mobile from Station House Officer, City Gohana, District Sonipat, states that at present the petitioners are not required in any complaint presented by respondent No. 7.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press this petition at this stage to which

learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 19, 2016
apurva