

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36965 of 2016
Date of Decision:01.12.2016

PardeepPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and ors ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No.4-Meena.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.(Oral)

The statement of Anju was recorded by learned District Judge, Sonipat on 17.11.2016 in English as well as in Hindi language. The statements have been received alongwith the report of learned District Judge, Sonipat. Learned State counsel has also filed attested copies of the statements. In her statement, Anju had stated that at the time of her marriage she was 16 years old and now she wants to stay with her parents who are residing at Sahnewala Kalan District Ludhiana (Punjab).

The parents of Anju will be at liberty to take her from Protection Home with them to the parental home.

In view of the above, no further action in the instant petition is called for and is disposed of accordingly.

**(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE**

December 01, 2016
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned? Yes/No

Whether Reportable? Yes/No