

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-36935 of 2016

Date of Decision: October 18, 2016

Sanjay Arora

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr. Sahil Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is a tenant of Manmohan Singh.

It appears that there is a landlord-tenant dispute. The petitioner has been granted interim injunction in a civil suit filed by him pertaining to a shop owned by Manmohan Singh.

The petitioner claims that hindrance is being caused by the above said person to prevent the smooth running of business by the petitioner. Petitioner has been involved in security proceedings by the police officials.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation to SSP, SAS Nagar, Mohali, annexure P-7 and

that a direction be given to said authority to look into the grievance of the petitioner in annexure P-7.

With the help of counsel for the petitioner, I have carefully gone through the complaint filed by the petitioner and I am of the opinion that there is no specific incident indicating any specific event of harassment or torture by the police or any other person.

The petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is thus not maintainable and is disposed of as such as premature without prejudice to the rights of the petitioner to approach the concerned police authority or to avail any other legal remedy available to him in case there is any imminent threat to the life and liberty or right to carry profession.

October 18, 2016
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/ No.
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No.