

IN THE HIGH COURT of PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

263

**Criminal Misc. No.M-369 of 2016
Date of decision: 28th March, 2016**

Navdeep Kaur and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of order passed by this Court on 18.01.2016, the petitioners have joined investigation and cancellation report has also been prepared by the Investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhpal Singh, has affirmed the factum of filing the cancellation report and submits that the presence of the petitioners is not required.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, interim order dated 18.01.2016 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

March 28, 2016

sonia gugnani