

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214)

CRM-M-36858-2016

Decided on: October 26, 2016.

Ranjit Kumar @ Ranjit Singh @ Jeeta

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and that there is delay of two days in lodging of the FIR.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the injury attributed to the petitioner which is apparently a grievous injury, attracting provisions of Section 326 IPC.

No extraordinary exceptional circumstances exist to grant concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. The delay in lodging of the FIR, may be considered as a circumstance to grant concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Without expression of any opinion on merits of the case, the petition is dismissed.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

October 26, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No