

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M- 35945 of 2015
Date of Decision:-26.02.2016**

Rajiv Bansal

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Akshay K. Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent no.2 and filed of Power of Attorney in Court today, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the police has submitted a cancellation report in the case, present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

26.02.2016
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**