

**Sr. No.213
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-3682 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.04.2016

Jangir Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CRM No.M-7580 of 2016 (O&M)

Budh Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. A.S.Barnala, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM No.M-3682 of 2016.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM No.M-7580 of 2016.

Mr. D.S.Virk, AAG, Punjab.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

This order shall dispose of CRM Nos.M-3682 of 2016 titled as Jangir Kaur Vs. State of Punjab and CRM No.M-7580 of 2016 titled as Budh Ram Vs. State of Punjab as both these petitions have been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners therein in case FIR No.62 dated 27.12.2015, under Sections 420, 419, 465, 466, 467, 468, 471, 474, 120-B of Indian Penal code, registered at Police Station Sehna, District Barnala, Punjab.

On 02.02.2016 while issuing notice of motion, the

following order was passed by this Court in CRM No.M-3682 of 2016:-

“Counsel would inter alia contend that even though the name of the present petitioner figures amongst the accused but there is no direct role assigned to her. That apart, it is contended that pertaining to the same very parcel of agricultural land, civil litigation had already been initiated by the complainant, namely, Amardaljit Singh and in which deceased son of the present petitioner was a party defendant and subsequently even an application for impleading the legal representatives of Kulwinder Singh had been moved by the present petitioner i.e. the mother.

It is further submitted that the petitioner is otherwise ready and willing to join investigation.

Notice of motion, returnable for 26.04.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and she shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Likewise, notice of motion order dated 02.03.2016 in CRM No. M-7580 of 2016 reads in the following terms:-

“Counsel would place reliance upon order dated 02.02.2016 passed by this Court in CRM No.M-3682 of 2016, whereby co-accused Jangir Kaur has been granted the interim protection as regards arrest.

Notice of motion, returnable on 26.04.2016.

To be listed along with CRM No.M-3682 of

2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and he shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Jagtar Singh would apprise the Court that petitioners in both these petitions have since joined investigation.

Accordingly, petitions are allowed. Orders dated 02.02.2016 in CRM No.M-3682 of 2016 and dated 02.03.2016 in CRM No.M-7580 of 2016, are made absolute.

26.04.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**