

280

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36714 of 2016

Date of decision: December 13, 2016

Karamjit Singh @ Giani

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.106 dated 02.09.2016, under Sections 324, 323, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur, petitioner seeks quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 17.10.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned SDJM, Sunam stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntarily with free consent.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.106 dated 02.09.2016, under Sections 324, 323, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 13, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No