

**250+257 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. C.R.M-M No.38624 of 2013 (O&M)

Ravinder Singh Kadan @ Ravi Kadan and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**2. C.R.M-M No.29338 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 05.12.2016**

Ravinder Singh Kadan @ Ravi Kadan and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. M.S.Sindhu, Advocate
for the petitioners (CRM-M-38624 of 2013)
and (CRM-M-29338 of 2016).

Mr. Vinod S.Bhardwaj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Bipan Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate and
Mr. J.S.Mehndiratta, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (CRM-M-38624 of 2013)
and (CRM-M-29338 of 2016).

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned two petitions.

The petition bearing **CRM-M-38624 of 2013** has been filed
under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of F.I.R. No.74 dated 08.05.2010
registered under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B IPC and final report

filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C. dated 23.02.2013 registered under Sections 420/465/467/468/471/120-B/201 IPC at Police Station Malout City, District Muktsar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

The petition bearing **CRM-M-29338 of 2016** has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the impugned order dated 11.04.2016 passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malout filed by the petitioners for seeking exemption from personal appearance.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in petition bearing No.**CRM-M-29338 of 2016** and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the counsel opposite.

After arguing for some time the following consensus has been arrived at between the parties on the basis of instructions they have received. It has been agreed that the petitioners will not press petition bearing No.**CRM-M-38624 of 2013** and will be at liberty to take all the pleas at the appropriate stage. On their part the respondents have agreed that they would have no objection if permanent exemption is granted to the petitioners subject to the petitioners undertaking that any evidence which may be recorded against them would be binding on them and to the condition that they would appear on any date on which the Court find their appearance to be necessary.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has accepted this.

In this view of the matter petition bearing **CRM-M-38624 of 2013** is dismissed as withdrawn in the above terms while **CRM-M-29338 of 2016** is allowed in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

05.12.2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No