

113 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.36702 of 2016
Date of Decision:October 12, 2016**

Neelam and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandan, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents.

Petitioners claim themselves to be major and have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their parents on 06.10.2016. Factum of marriage has been pleaded with reference to photographs (Annexure P-3). Petitioners feel reasonable apprehension at the hands of private respondents, who were opposing their marriage.

Precisely, for the grievance made in the present petition, petitioners have already filed a representation before Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra-respondent No.2 (Annexure P-4), but no action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Gurdas Singh Sarwara, DAG, Haryana,

accepts notice on behalf of State. Let three copies of the petition be supplied

to him by learned counsel for the petitioners during the course of the day.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra-respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation made by the petitioners (Annexure P-4) and if required, necessary protection be provided to them if they are not required in any unlawful activity.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 12, 2016

rittu