

279

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36699 of 2016

Date of decision: December 13, 2016

Hans Lal and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. B.R. Rana-I, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rimplejeet Kaur, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), Patiala filed by the learned State counsel, today in Court, is taken on record.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.41 dated 26.05.2016, under Sections 406, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala, petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 jointly submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court vide order dated 17.10.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording

of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Patiala stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is genuine with their free will, consent and without any threat or pressure.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.41 dated 26.05.2016, under Sections 406, 506, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

December 13, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No