

**117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-3666 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 02, 2016

Sumitra and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Petitioners seek quashing of the FIR No.203, dated 16.10.2015, under Sections 306, 406 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Nathu Sarai Chopta, District Sirsa (Annexure P-1) on the ground that the name of the petitioners appear in the suicide note of deceased Vinod Kumar.

It is claimed that the ingredients of Section 306 IPC are not made out from the suicide note and other evidence collected by the police during investigation.

It is further contended that now the challan has been presented and the case is fixed for 04.02.2016 for arguments on the point of framing of charges. If the petitioners feel that no prima facie case is made out against them, they can argue at the time of framing of charge.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Sh. R.K. Mehta, Addl. Sessions Judge, Sirsa to hear the arguments on the charge and pass a speaking order thereon.

Since the case is fixed for 04.02.2016, the copy of this order be sent through fax for conveying the same to Shri R.K. Mehta, Addl. Sessions Judge, Sirsa.

February 02, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE