

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-36659 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.10.2016

Shinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 186 dated 18.10.2015, registered under Sections 302, 307, 326, 324, 323 and 148 read with Section 149 and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Sadar, Patiala.

It is contended that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of supplementary statement made after two days of the occurrence by one Raghbir Singh, who had stated that he caused injury to one Lajja Ram, however, this version has not come in the statement of Lajja Ram.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that initially the petitioner is not named in the FIR; the challan stands presented, however the charges are yet to be framed, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.10.2016
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No