

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-36657 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 25.10.2016

Mehboob @ Booba

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 936 dated 29.11.2015, registered under Sections 307, 332 and 353 read with Section 34 of IPC, Section 11 of Prevention of Cruelty to Animal Act, Section 13(2) of HGS Act, 2015 and Section 25 of Arms Act, at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. The similarly situated co-accused, Meer Hasan has been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 21.07.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that he is a habitual offender and involved in another 15 cases.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the challan stands presented; charges have been framed, however, no witness has been examined so far, therefore, it can safely be inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

25.10.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No