

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

220

**CRM-M No.36644 of 2016.
Date of Decision: 15.11.2016.**

Napisa and another

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR.

Present: Mr. A.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Shri Yad Ram, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur Jhirka has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. It is mentioned in the reply that statements of parents of Napisa (respondents No.4 and 5) were recorded on 27.10.2016 wherein they both stated that they have no objection to the marriage of their daughter Napisa (petitioner No.1) with Inshad (petitioner No.2). They have also stated that the petitioners should apprehend no danger to their life from any quarter.

In view of the reply submitted by the State, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh that in case the petitioners move an application before him seeking protection for their life and liberty, he will look into the matter and provide

necessary protection to them, if he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is in danger at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

15.11.2016
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No