

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-35751 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: 11.02.2016

Sabo and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. **Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment? (Yes/No)**
2. **To be referred to reporters or not? (Yes/No)**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. SS Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Samrala, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

In the reply, it has been mentioned that statement of minor girl, namely, Zulekha Begum under Section 164 Cr.P.C. was recorded before JMIC, Samrala on 22.12.2015 and the copy of the same is annexed as Annexure R1/T with the reply. A perusal of statement of Zulekha Begum clearly shows that specific allegations have been levelled against Ladi, Aaru, Sharif, Cheecha, Jaitun and Deepak Bhatti. She has specifically stated in her statement that the present petitioners have no concern with

regard to the allegations levelled in the FIR.

In view of the statement got recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C by Zulekha Begum, interim order dated 21.10.2015 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 11, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE