

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-36629 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.12.2016

Munish Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate with
Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mikhail Kad, AAG Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Sanam Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.84 dated 19.07.2014 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 420 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women Cell, District Ludhiana (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Deepika on the allegations that after her marriage, the accused-petitioners started harassing her for demand of dowry. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the

parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqua Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Ludhiana, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble

Supreme Court in **Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, 2012**

(4) RCR (Cr.) 543, this petition is allowed and FIR No.84 dated 19.07.2014 registered under Sections 406, 498-A, 420 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women Cell, District Ludhiana and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed against the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

21.12.2016

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No