

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-38527 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: February 15, 2016.

Balbir Singh and others

... **Petitioners**

v.

Gurnam Singh

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

In the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of the criminal complaint and also challenge the summoning order dated 30.7.2012 passed by Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nakodar. The said order is revisable order. When a specific remedy is available, the petitioners cannot ordinarily be allowed to resort to remedy under Section 482 Cr.P.C. The petitioners are relegated to avail remedy before the Court of Sessions by filing a revision petition. If the revision is filed within 30 days, the same will be entertained and decided notwithstanding the limitation.

February 15, 2016.
kadyan

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge