

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-366 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 4th FEBRUARY, 2016

Sanam @ Sonu

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

* * * *

Present : Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yaspal, AAG, Haryana.

* * * *

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.283 dated 25.03.2015 under Sections 363, 366(A) IPC and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offence, Act, 2012 registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was arrested on 20.04.2015 and challan has been presented. Both the complainant and the prosecutrix have been examined and the trial is taking time. He further contends that the prosecutrix was major and had accompanied the accused and had solemnized marriage with him and challan should not have been presented.

The State counsel submits that date of birth of the prosecutrix was 05.03.2001 and the girl was only 14 years old and wrong statement had been made while seeking protection and

consent does not matter and the trial is going on at a fast pace and only two witnesses remain to be examined.

Trial is at fag end and only two witnesses remain to be examined. No case for bail is made out. The petition is dismissed.

4th February, 2016
'raj'

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE