

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: January 22, 2016

1. CRM No. M-35700 of 2015

Kartar Singh and others

...Petitioners....

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents....

2. CRM No. M-35817 of 2015

Gurmail Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate for
Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-35700 of 2015 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM No. M-35817 of 2015.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2 in CRM No. M-35700 of 2015 and
for the petitioners in CRM No. M-35817 of 2015.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By this common order, this Court intends to dispose of two
petitions i.e CRM No. M-35700 of 2015 filed by *Kartar Singh and others*
and CRM No. M-35817 of 2015 filed by *Gurmail Singh and others*.

2. CRM No. M-35700 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482
of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of cross case registered

vide DDR No. 26, dated 10.11.2012, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, in FIR No. 99, dated 10.11.2012, Police Station Sudhar, Police District Ludhiana (Rural), District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

3. CRM No. M-35817 of 2015 has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for FIR No. 99, dated 10.11.2012, under Sections 325, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Sudhar, Police District Ludhiana (Rural), District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

4. Report of Id. Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that matter of present police challan and that of cross-version of DDR No. 26/2012 has been compromised between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or pressure from any corner. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

5. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in case reported as **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another; 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

6. Consequently, both these petitions are allowed, FIR No.99,

dated 10.11.2012, under Sections 325, 148 and 149 IPC, Police Station Sudhar, Police District Ludhiana Rural, District Ludhiana and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom including cross-version case recorded as DDR No. 26, dated 10.11.2012 are quashed qua the petitioner(s).

January 22, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE