

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

CRM NO. M-36506 of 2016
DECIDED ON: October 17, 2016

AMAR SINGH SIDHU

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

JASPAL SINGH

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh K. Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant petition preferred under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioner-Amar Singh Sidhu has sought pre-arrest bail in the event of his arrest in case bearing complaint No.824/13, dated April 06, 2013, under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 captioned as “Jaspal Singh Vs. Amar Singh Sidhu” pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana.

Though, in the given circumstances, petitioner is not entitled to discretionary relief of pre-arrest bail as envisaged under Section 438 Cr.P.C., yet a lenient view is taken.

Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to petitioner to appear/surrender before the trial Court and apply for bail which shall be dealt with and disposed of by the trial Court/Duty Magistrate within a period of 3 days from the date of its presentation.

October 17, 2016
Avin/Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No