

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Appeal No. S-500 of 2003
Date of decision : 15.07.2016**

Parshant Pathak @ Micky

... Appellant

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? Yes/No

**Present: Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate
for appellant Parshant Pathak @ Micky**

Mr. Deep Singh, AAG Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Vide orders of even date, passed in Crl. Appeal No.S-444-SB
of 2003, titled as Balwinder Thakur @ Sonu Vs. State of Punjab, this appeal
is allowed. For reasons, see the orders passed in the aforesaid appeal.

**July 15, 2016
Jiten**

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**