

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-35492 of 2015

Date of decision : 22.09.2016

Sewa Singh Saini

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, AAG Punjab

Mr. Raghav Kapur, Advocate for respondent No. 2

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 has filed reply in the Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is co-brother of the father-in-law who has been named in the FIR. He states that the wife had filed a petition for divorce and the Court in Germany had passed a decree of divorce.

Learned State counsel submits that on further investigation the police had found the petitioner to be innocent and he is not required in this case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement made by State counsel the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

September 22, 2016

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether Reasoned/Speaking

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No