

CRM-M-36363-2016

Date of Decision : 07.10.2016

Gurdeep Singh Bedi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI****Present:** Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate  
for the petitioner.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

The petitioner is a complainant who has got registered an FIR against Preeti and Beero alleging that they had committed theft of gold ornaments from his house while working as helpers in the house. Through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks a direction to the investigating agency to effect recoveries of gold ornaments.

On asking of the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner informs that the accused have been granted the concession of bail.

Since, the accused have been granted the concession of bail and the investigating agency is required to investigate the matter and effect recoveries, as per the provisions of Chapter XII of Criminal Procedure Code, issuing any direction, at this stage, for effecting recoveries, will tantamount to interfering in the investigation and indulging in requiring the investigating agency to exercise of powers of investigation in a particular manner, whereas the investigating agency is expected to proceed in accordance with law. No specific direction can be given to the investigating officer.

This petition is disposed of as not maintainable. However, in case, there is any apprehension that the investigation is not being conducted in a fair manner, it will be open to the petitioner to approach the senior police officers for appropriate remedy.

(M.M.S. BEDI)  
JUDGE

07.10.2016  
*Neha*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |