

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36315 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.10.2016

Maya Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.76, dated 06.02.2016, registered under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC, at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of family dispute between the daughter of the complainant and her husband. The petitioner had supported the husband's side. The petitioner is neither the beneficiary nor the signatory of the alleged agreement to sell.

Heard.

From the perusal of the record, it emerges that the petitioner got the deal struck qua the plot of the complainant with

one Kusum. The agreement to sell was to be executed on 20.01.2013, however, the same was not done. The petitioner had misappropriated Rs.3,50,000/- given to him by purchaser Kusum Tyagi for further transmission to the complainant. Keeping in view the allegations, this Court feels that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary in the matter to unearth the truth and to know his modus operandi. Therefore, no case for anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.10.2016

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No