

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-35481 of 2014
Date of decision: March 15, 2016.

Vinod Kumar Dhir

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. APS Gill, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate for respondent No.4.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Reply of respondent No.4 filed in Court today, is taken on record.

The petitioner has some grievance. He is stated to have filed one representation on 5.10.2012 (Annexure P-1). Later on, he filed another representation on 6.8.2013. The stand of the State is that no one came present on behalf of the petitioner to represent his case. Therefore, his representation has been filed.

In these circumstances, the petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioner shall appear before the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana in person along with his complaint. The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana shall look into the complaint of the petitioner and decide the same in accordance with law.

Petition is disposed of.

[**Kuldip Singh**]
Judge

March 15, 2016.