

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

**Criminal Misc. No.M-35367 of 2015
Date of decision: 29th February, 2016**

Sabri

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Ms. Meenakshi, Advocate for
Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.5.

Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate for respondent No.6.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents-accused have been arrested and the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

February 29, 2016
sonia gugnani

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**