

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRM-M No.36212 of 2016  
Date of Decision: 29.11.2016**

Vivek Jain & Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & Anr.

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI**

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S.Riar, AAG, Punjab .

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

**Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)**

Quashing of FIR No. 0145 dated 23.09.2016 under Sections 498-A, 323, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar, is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 27.09.2016 (Annexure P/2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner No.1 on 16.04.2016. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioner.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 27.09.2016 (Annexure P/2). In compliance of order dated 07/10/2016, report dated 7.11.2016 of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Jalandhar, has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioners. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioners.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 07.11.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 0145 dated 23.09.2016 under Sections 498-A, 323, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.1, Jalandhar, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

November 29, 2016  
tripti

(RITU BAHRI)  
JUDGE