

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**CRM-M No.36139 of 2016
Date of Decision: 29.11.2016**

Pawan Dhawan & Ors.

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & Anr.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. B. R.Vohra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Quashing of FIR No. 880 dated 30.09.2015 under Sections 498-A/323/354/406/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Karnal, is being sought on the basis of compromise dated 01.07.2016 (Annexure P/2).

Respondent No. 2 got married to petitioner on 20.06.2014. Due to temperamental differences, both the parties could not live together as husband and wife. The relationship between them became strained and thereafter, the F.I.R was registered against the petitioner on account of bringing less dowry and harassment caused by the petitioner.

However, the matter has now been duly compromised, vide compromise dated 01.07.2016 (Annexure P/2). In compliance of order dated 07.10.2016, report dated 09.11.2016 of Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Karnal, has been received in this regard. As per report, statement of parties have been recorded. Complainant stated that the matter stands compromised between the parties and she does not want to proceed further against the accused and has no objection, if the present F.I.R be quashed against the petitioner. The compromise has been entered voluntarily. To the same effect is the statements given by petitioner.

Consequently, in view of the status report dated 09.11.2016 and in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Dr. Arvind Barsaul etc. versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another 2008(2) RCR (Criminal) 910***, no useful purpose would be served in prolonging the litigation.

Accordingly, FIR No. 880 dated 30.09.2015 under Sections 498-A/323/354/406/468/471/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Karnal, is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom qua petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

November 29, 2016
tripti

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE