

260-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35043 of 2015

Date of decision: September 20, 2016

Sumit Ghambir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Ms. G.K. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate for

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

There is a connected petition bearing CRM-M-8111 of 2014 for quashing the FIR in question. The FIR is now being sought to be quashed by way of compounding/compromise in accordance with compromise deed placed on record as Annexure P-5 in that petition. One of the petitioners was declared P.O. vide order dated 23.10.2013 and that order is under challenge in the present petition bearing CRM-M-35043 of 2015.

In my opinion, since the parties have arrived at compromise and eventually one of the petitioners was declared P.O., this petition CRM-M-35043 of 2015 deserves to be set aside in order to accept the compounding/compromise arrived at between the parties.

Hence, CRM-M-35043 of 2015 is allowed. P.O. order dated 23.10.2013 stands quashed and set aside.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

September 20, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No