

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-35040 of 2015

Date of decision: February 29, 2016.

Dilbag Singh and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. K.S. Kahlon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.104 dated 19.8.2013, registered under Sections 325, 324, 323, 34 IPC, at Police Station Ghuman Kalan now PS Sekhwan, District Gurdapur, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Batala, received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused and has reported that the parties have effected compromise which is without any pressure, coercion and is the result of free consent of the parties. Statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report.

Since the parties have compromised, therefore, FIR No.104 dated 19.8.2013, registered under Sections 325, 324, 323, 34 IPC, at Police Station Ghuman Kalan now PS Sekhwan, District Gurdapur, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

February 29, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge