

CRM-M-35915-2016

Date of Decision : 08.11.2016

Sunil @ Kalu

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered at the instance of Sandeep Kumar alleging that on 24.03.2016, the complainant along with his brother Tarun, were attacked by the petitioner and others, by trespassing in the shop of the complainant.

So far as the petitioner is concerned, he gave a datar blow on the left side on the head of the complainant as well as inflicted injuries with the reverse side of the datar, near the right ear of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the complainant has intervened to oppose the application for regular bail contending that as per the CT scan report, the fracture of the left parietal bone has been detected.

I have considered the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner, counsel for the complainant and learned State counsel and have also gone through the record.

Taking into consideration the fact that the injured was discharged soon and the petitioner has been in custody with effect from 30.05.2016, challan having already been presented, the petitioner can be granted the concession of bail.

The petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the condition that petitioner will not in any manner, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or pressurize any person or witness. In case of any such eventuality, it will be open to the complainant or State to approach this Court for cancellation of bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

08.11.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No