

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-35007 OF 2015
DATE OF DECISION : 26th OCTOBER, 2016

Sandeep Khatana & others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Rajnikant , Advocate for
 Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Madhu Ranjan, Advocate for the respondent No.4.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.233 dated 11.07.2013 registered under Sections 323 & 506 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station DLF Qutub Enclave, District Gurgaon (now Gurugram).

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent/complainant submits that now compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had made order dated 15.12.2015 asking for recording of statement in order to find out the correctness and genuineness of the compromise said to have been arrived at between the parties.

Accordingly statements have been recorded by the trial Court and report has been received by this Court from the District and Sessions Judge, Gurgaon. Looking to the report it is seen that the compromise is genuine and without any pressure. I have seen the offences registered against the petitioners. Looking to the nature of the offence I think the compromise/compounding should be allowed.

In view of the above, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-35007 OF 2015 is allowed.
- (ii) The FIR No.233 dated 11.07.2013 registered under Sections 323 & 506 read with Section 34 IPC at Police Station DLF Qutub Enclave, District Gurgaon (now Gurugram), is quashed along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

26TH OCTOBER, 2016
'raj'

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE