

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37793-2013

Date of Decision: January 12, 2016

Nasib Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Gurinder S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

Mr. Vishnu Godara, Advocate, for
Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate,
for respondent No. 5-CBI.

.....

***1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be
allowed to see the judgment?***

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

***3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the
Digest?***

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Sub

Inspector Rajbir Singh of CIA-II Kaithal, submits that after thorough investigation, the Investigating Agency has proposed to file the untraced report in the present case. The same is pending for approval from the competent police authority. He further submits that within one month of passing of the order by this Court, the untraced report shall be presented before learned Area Judicial Magistrate, after taking approval.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

January 12, 2016

apurva

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE