

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35876-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 23.12.2016

Ravinder Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jatinder Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Kuljit Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No.124 dated 29.08.2016, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. City Gurdaspur.

Heard.

On 07.11.2016, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. He is not beneficiary of the documents allegedly prepared/forged.

Notice of motion for 23.12.2016.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any*

person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 07.11.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

23.12.2016
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No