

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.35873 of 2016
Date of decision: 16.12.2016

Reshma and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR

Present:- Ms. Avinash Mandla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SNEH PRASHAR, J. (ORAL)

The prosecution has got recorded the statement of Reshma (petitioner No.1) from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pathankot on 05.12.2016. Copy of the statement recorded in Punjabi language and copy of its English translation has been produced by learned State counsel, which are taken on record. In her statement, petitioner No.1 has stated that her marriage was solemnized with petitioner No.2 with the consent of her father, she has also stated that she wants to live with her husband but she is being threatened by her parents.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that as per the school leaving certificate of Reshma filed along with his reply, the date of birth of Reshma is 05.06.2003, which shows that she is 13½ years aged at present. On the contrary, Reshma had filed her affidavit stating that she is more than 18 years old.

Considering all the aspects of the case and without

commenting on the validity of the marriage, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2, Superintendent of Police, Pathankot that in case the petitioners approach him for their protection, he will look into the matter and provide necessary protection to them.

16.12.2016
Dinesh Bansal

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No