

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(i) Criminal Misc.No.M-35864 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 21st November, 2016**

Ravinder Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(ii) Criminal Misc.No.M-36917 of 2016 (O&M)

Satnam Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

(iii) Criminal Misc.No.M-36970 of 2016 (O&M)

Sukhchain Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ripu Daman, Advocate
for Mr. Sant Pal Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners in Criminal Misc.No.M-35864 and 36917 of
2016.

Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner in Criminal Misc.No.M-36970 of 2016 and
for the complainant in Criminal Misc.Nos.35864 and 36917 of
2016.

Mr. K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent(s)-State.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of Criminal Misc.No.M-35864 of 2016, filed by petitioners Ravinder Singh and Jasdeep Singh and Criminal Misc.No.M-36917 of 2016, filed by petitioners Satnam Singh, Sukhchain Singh, Harpal Singh, Gurbir Singh and Jasbir under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.110 dated 01.08.2016, registered at PoliceStation Zira, District Ferozepur, under Sections 307, 336, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act and Criminal Misc.No.M-36970 of 2016, filed by petitioners Sukhchain Singh, Rajbir Singh, Simranjit Singh and Jaspreet Singh, for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.49 dated 21.08.2016, registered at Police Station Valtaha, District Tarn Taran, under Sections 307, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act.

Report of the Mediation & Conciliation Centre has been received. As per the said report, the parties have settled their dispute.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurbachan Singh, has accepted the factual assertions and also states that the petitioners have joined the investigation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.

Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim orders dated 06.10.2016 in Criminal Misc.No.M-35864 of 2016, 18.10.2016 in Criminal Misc.No.M-36917 of 2016 and 25.10.2016 in Criminal Misc.No.M-36970 of 2016 are made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petitions stand allowed.

21st November, 2016
mamta

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>