

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -35839 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 30.11.2016

Mandeep Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. APS Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab  
assisted by ASI Satnam Singh.

\*\*\*\*

**Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)**

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.0150 dated 17.09.2016, registered under Sections 323, 307, 326, 506, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station B-Division, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

On 06.10.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Learned counsel refers to Annexure P-2 to contend that the alleged injuries attributed to the petitioners are not reflected in the MLR of injured, Harnam Singh. Only lalkara has been attributed to petitioner No.2 and injuries under Section 326 of the IPC have been attributed to the other co-*

*accused, namely, Jagmeet Singh and Mandeep Singh (son of Gurinder Singh).*

*Notice of motion for 30.11.2016.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-*

- 1. That they shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 06.10.2016, the petitioners have joined the investigation.

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.10.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

30.11.2016

*sumit.k*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable:         | Yes | No |