

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-35814-2016

Date of decision: 05.10.2016

Tanya Sharma and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. J.S.Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioners.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that inadvertently a typographical error with regard to date of birth of petitioner No.1 has occurred. In fact date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 28.09.1996 (Annexure P-1) whereas date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 28.05.1995. The particulars of the date of birth of the petitioners have been wrongly reflected in the draft.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that the petitioners are major and there is no legal impediment to their marriage. They have solemnized the marriage. Their marriage is opposed by respondent No.4.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete copy of the paper book has been furnished to the learned counsel, in the Court.

Without expressing any opinion with regard to validity of marriage and on the merits of the assertions made in the petition, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner

of Police, Ludhiana to take necessary action on the representation dated 02.10.2016 (Annexure P-5) moved by the petitioners and provide necessary protection to the petitioners in accordance with law in case he is satisfied that the life and liberty of the petitioners is threatened at the hands of respondent No.4.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 05, 2016
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No